

20<sup>th</sup> IAEA Fusion Energy Conference Vilamoura, Portugal, 1-6 November 2004

#### IAEA-CN-116/TH/4-1

# Mechanisms for ITB Formation and Control in Alcator C-Mod Identified through Gyrokinetic Simulations of TEM Turbulence

D. R. Ernst, N. Basse, P. T. Bonoli, P. J. Catto, W. Dorland,\*

C. L. Fiore, M. Greenwald, A. E. Hubbard, E. S. Marmar,

M. Porkolab, J. E. Rice, K. Zeller and K. Zhurovich

email: dernst @ psfc.mit.edu



Plasma Science and Fusion Center Massachusetts Institute of Technology Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA

> \*Department of Physics University of Maryland College Park, Maryland, USA



Acknowledgement: G. W. Hammett, K. Hallatschek

# Internal Transport Barrier Produced by Moving ICRH Off-Axis



- Densities > 10<sup>21</sup> m<sup>-3</sup>
- No core particle sources or sinks
- T<sub>i</sub>(r) = T<sub>e</sub>(r) unchanged while density peaks
- Monotonic q-profile, small Shafranov shift
- No external torques, significant fast ions, or impurity content

- Sharp magnetic field threshold for ITB, no hysteresis
- On-axis ICRH arrests density rise





- no adjustable parameters
- GS2 nonlinear gyrokinetic code [Dorland, Kotschenreuther, Liu]
  - Gyrokinetic Vlasov, time advancing
  - Local flux-tube, cross-section 30-60 ρ<sub>i</sub>
  - General magnetic geometry

- Spectral in  $\perp$  direction
- Lorentz collisions
- All species fully gyrokinetic
- Our general interface to experiments [GS2\_PREP] used for several years at TFTR, JET, DIII-D, JT60-U, C-Mod
- · Collection of runs prepared automatically, viewed as profile
- · Linear and non-Linear (electrostatic) results benchmarked
- Detailed comparisons with experiments performed toward understanding ITB formation and control with RF heating



## **Toroidal ITG Modes Suppressed by Off-Axis Heating**



 C-Mod has no core particle sources:

$$\frac{\partial n_e}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\Gamma_{\text{Ware}} + \Gamma_{\text{turb}}) = 0$$
initially small, outward flux

- Ware pinch peaks density where ITG modes suppressed
- Nonlinear turbulence simulations show negligible turbulent pinch
- Ware pinch alone accounts for twice observed rate of density rise



## As Density Gradient Steepens, TEM forms in Barrier



2 MW off-axis + 0.6 MW on-axis ICRH, Double Barrier, 1.34 sec (late in time)

- Phase velocity in electron direction
- Vanishes with adiabatic electrons
- Driven solely by density gradient
- Usual toroidal ITG modes outside ITB foot



## Gradients in ITB Initially Follow ITG Stability Boundary, Allowing Ware Pinch to Peak Density



## **ITB Formation Ceases at Each Radius with TEM Onset**



 In late phase of discharge, toroidal rotation is small, ExB shear unimportant

- Density gradient scale length comes to steady state with TEM onset (~ 1.0 sec)
- On-axis ICRH increases temperature starting 1.25 sec
- D<sub>eff</sub> ceases to drop when TEM goes unstable (~ 1.0 sec)
- One-fluid  $\chi_{\text{eff}}$  reaches neoclassical values in inner core
- D<sub>eff</sub> increases during on-axis heating





#### Nonlinear simulations reveal new upshift in TEM critical density gradient



- Pure TEM linearly unstable, but nonlinearly quasi-stable, for a range of density gradients
- Analogous to Dimits shift in temperature gradient for ITG turbulence
   [A. M. Dimits et al., *Phys Plasmas* (2000)]
- Results from explosive growth of zonal flows, which are weakly damped

 $\gamma_{ZF} \propto \delta \phi_{primary} \quad \Box \qquad \phi_{ZF} \propto \exp(\exp \gamma t)$ 

[Rogers, Dorland, Kotschenreuther, Phys. Rev. Lett. (2000)]

Ernst et al., IAEA-CN-116/TH/4-1





 Upon exceeding nonlinear critical density gradient, turbulent outflow strongly increases until

$$\Gamma^{\mathrm{TEM}}_{\mathrm{GS2}} + \Gamma_{\mathrm{WARE}} \simeq 0$$

- Error bars represent uncertainty in Z<sub>eff</sub> gradient
- n<sub>e</sub> Z<sup>1/2</sup><sub>eff</sub> gradient measured via
   218 channel visible brehmstraahlung
- Simulations match experimental particle and energy transport in ITB within the uncertainties



## Recently, Full available source power maintained steady ITB

0.6

0.4

0.2

-0.2

-0.4

-0.6

0.4

0.6

0.8

R [m]

1.0

z [m] 0.0





 Density fluctuation data available from improved Phase Contrast Imaging Diagnostic:

> 10 kHz - 5 MHz 0.5 - 12 cm<sup>-1</sup> line-integrated





#### **Encouraging preliminary comparison of GS2 and PCI in TEM frequency range**

- PCI observes wavelengths and frequencies similar to GS2 prediction.
- GS2 nearly correct on increase of fluctuation power during on-axis ICRH.



#### Conclusions

- Ware pinch sufficient to account for C-Mod ITB formation when off-axis heating broadens T(r), suppressing ITG modes
- As density peaks, TEM driven unstable
- When TEM flux balances Ware pinch at each radius, stable equilibrium
- GS2 simulations of particle and energy flux in ITB match experiment
- On-axis heating increases temperature, increasing TEM particle flux consistent with gyrobohm scaling, collisionality plays 2<sup>nd</sup> order role
- At same time, Ware pinch decreases with temperature

$$\frac{\partial n_e}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left\{ \Gamma_0^{\text{TEM}} \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right)^{3/2} + \Gamma_0_{\text{Ware}} \left( \frac{T}{T_0} \right)^{-1/2} \right\} = 0 \quad \text{(ITB control)}$$

- New nonlinear upshift of TEM critical density gradient, due to zonal flows
- GS2 spectrum and increase in density fluctuations in rough agreement with Phase Contrast Imaging (preliminary)