Turbulent Particle Transport in Tore Supra

G.T. Hoang, J.F. Artaud, C. Bourdelle, X. Garbet and the Tore Supra Team

> Association Euratom-CEA CADARACHE



Euratom CCC Particle transport key issue for fusion plasmas

Density profile plays important role in plasma performance Peaked density profile can

- ightarrow Reduce heat transport \rightarrow good confinement
- Increase Fusion Power & Bootstrap current required for continuous operation in ITER

Particle transport study significantly progress

- Peaked density profile due to anomalous pinch in steady-state on TCV & Tore Supra G.T. Hoang PRL 90 (2003), Zabolotsky, PPCF 45 (2003)
 Experiments & Turbulent simulations intensively investigated for understanding the parametric dependence
 - e.g., X. Garbet PRL 91 (2003), C. Angioni PoP 10 (2003)



General model of particle flux

collisional transport

$$= -Dn [\nabla n/n + C_q \nabla q/q -$$

Anomalous diffusion

Thermodiffusion pinch

V_{neo}n $C_T \nabla T_e / T_e$ + Neoclassical

pinch ~ V_{ware} (∞E_{ϕ})

Complex coupling q, T_e and E_{ϕ} in inductive plasmas \rightarrow quite impossible to discriminate among these terms

Curvature Pinch

Ideal conditions are now met in Tore Supra plasmas ✓ No central fuelling (Lower Hybrid Current Drive) ✓ Maintaining $V_{Ware} = 0$ for up to 6 min. $V_{neo} \sim 0$, hence: $\nabla n/n = -C_a \nabla q/q + C_T \nabla T_e/T_e$

Accurate density profiles provided by powerful reflectometry

See R. Sabot EX/P6-25

Euratom Peaked density profile in absence of Ware pinch over 6 minutes



G.T. Hoang, 20th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference

Euratom CECI Peaked profile due to anomalous pinch

Central source? - Same observation in D and H plasmas, i.e. different sectron source - Total ionized D from recycle q =fuelling < 1% within r/a = 2.6 - Negligible impurit $(T_{eff} \le 2)$

Detrapping of suprathermal electrons generated by LH waves? Not by collisions (50keV – 175keV) Need V ~ 100 km/s when taking into account ripple loss

Suprathermal < 0.1 % thermalpopulation



Normalized radius



1-D simulation





D value during OH phase (under estimated) V_{neo} mainly the impurity contribution (NCLASS)

Need a pinch velocity two orders of magnitude higher than neoclassical value (0.1 -10 m/s for r/a > 0.2)

Euratom CCI Parametric dependence study requires long discharges



Small thermodiffusion pinch can only be identified when $V_{Ware} = 0$

Image: Second stateTurbulent pinchesin the plasma center r/a < 0.3</td>



Euratom

From a set of 7 discharges $T_e(0) = 4$ - 8keV; $q_{edge} = 8.9$ -14

 $\nabla n/n = -C_q \nabla q/q + C_T \nabla T_e/T_e$ Inward thermodiffusion
Curvature pinch quite negligible
correlated with dominant ITG





Turbulent pinches when TEMs dominate (0.3 ≤ r/a ≤ 0.6)



Outward thermodiffusion dominated by curvature pinch $(C_q \sim 0.8, C_T \sim -0.2) \rightarrow n_e$ governed by q-profile

Larger outward thermodiffusion predicted by turbulent simulations! Garbet et al, PRL **91**, 035001 (2003)

G.T. Hoang, 20th IAEA Fusion Energy Conference

Euratom Density profile varies likely as 1./q^{0.5}

Empirical model $\nabla n/n = -0.5 \nabla q/q$ reproduces well experiments as found by Boucher&Rebut&Watkins for JET and ITER database *CR Acad. Sci. T315, Ser. II, 273 (92)*

Over estimated by model 1/q proposed by Nycander Yankov (fits TFTR supershots) PoP 2 1995

Slightly under estimated using Isichenko's formula based on ITGs $\frac{n(r)}{n(\theta)} = 1 - \frac{1}{R} \int_{\theta}^{r} (\frac{1}{2} + \frac{4}{3}r \frac{\nabla q}{a}) dr$

(fits TFTR L-mode) PRL74, 1995

n_e normalized to the value @ r/a =0.6 50 profiles from 10 shots.





Trapped Electron Modes Expected in ITER reference scenario

Dominant trapped electron (TE) contribution

→ Dominant turbulent ∇q/q term expected, thus peaked density profile





Extrapolation to ITER

Possible gain of 30% in fusion power when using $\nabla n/n = -0.5 \nabla q/q$



CRONOS simulation in a consistent manner using 0D scaling laws: ITERH-98P(y,2), bootstrap, Z_{eff}, edge conditions....

No Impurity transport. But, scalings include an increase of Z_{eff} (1.5 to 1.7)



OPEN QUESTIONS



Inward or Outward Thermodiffusion in ITER plasmas? What about Impurity accumulation? Impurity transport, low Z?





CONCLUSIONS

- Evident turbulent pinche observed in Tore Supra \checkmark Both the Thermodiffusion & Curvature pinches co-exist. Weak Thermodiffusion. Inward when ITGs dominant Outward when TEMs dominant n_o profile governed by q-profile: $\nabla n/n \sim -0.5 \nabla q/q$ correlated with dominant TEM possible control by non-inductive current drive (ECCD, LHCD) **Results** agrees with turbulence theories & simulations \checkmark
 - Except for the observation of small thermodiffusion pinch