# Update on MJ Laser Target Physics

P.A.Holstein, J.Giorla, M.Casanova, F.Chaland, C.Cherfils,
E. Dattolo, D.Galmiche, S.Laffite, E.Lefebvre, P.Loiseau,
M.C. Monteil, F.Poggi, G.Riazuelo, Y.Saillard

**CEA - DAM - Ile de France** 



Vilamoura nov 2004

Paper IF/1-3

holstein@cea.fr

# Update on LMJ Target Physics



### LMJ is under Construction (site in sept. 2004)

## œ



Target chamber (10m diameter) will be installed in june 2006

Concrete & steel framework slabs of the laser

# Summary

- We have designed several targets in the {Energy <sub>laser</sub>, Power <sub>laser</sub>} domain.
  - LIL (4 beamlets) is the LMJ prototype and the first experiments are planned for 2005.

 We have estimated the effect of radiation nonuniformities around the capsule due to laser imbalance and mispointing.





#### Indirect drive ignition target with cryogenic DT capsule



#### 1 - The risk connected to Rayleigh-Taylor instabilities: our capsule can tolerate 50nm ablator roughness and 1µm DT ice roughness. Fusion yield (MJ) Density contours at ignition with different ablator roughness (modes 12 to 60) $10^{2}$ 10MJ Clean 1D 100 RMS 50nm DT/CH interface : large perturbations Mode 60 dominant 10-2 **RMS** 100nm no ignition ignition 10-4 Dense DT Hot spot surface : small 10-6 perturbations, mode 12 dominant Time (ns) 17.5 17.6 17.4

The integrated 2D simulations don't deal with 2 major issues:

D. Galmiche, C. Cherfils

# - The risk connected to Laser Plasma Interaction is controlled by beam smoothing



1

Filamentation of the beam leads to -beam deflection: the figure shows the simulation by a paraxial propagation code in LMJ conditions. -backscattering out of the hohlraum (Brillouin and Raman )



• control of filamentation by breaking the laser coherence (laser smoothing or SSD)

• electronic density Ne / Ncritic < 10%

LMJ focusing-&-smoothing system

7 G.Riazuelo, M. Casanova – CEA - DAM - Ile de France

#### Ignition may be achieved in a large $(E_{las}, P_{las})$ region.

(e)

1



Our baseline target is a trade-off between hydro-instability and Laser Plasma Interaction risks.





### The LIL experimental program ranges from NOVA to LMJ conditions (Ne, Te, Tr)





The Robustness against uncertainties is studied with the same chain of 2 models in 3D, up to the deformation.

(e)

3

Map of laser illumination with 60 beams on the hohlraum



Regarding final DT deformation, 3D laser errors increase slightly the threshold obtained for only 2D errors.



# Summary of LMJ target physics

- A whole {E<sub>laser</sub>, P<sub>laser</sub>} domain of targets was determined with different safety factors regarding hydrodynamic instabilities and laser-plasma instabilities.
- About 40 shots representative of LMJ Laser-Plasma Instabilities and radiation conditions are planned in 200 on the LIL facility. The first goal of LIL experiments is to validate the laser beam smoothing.
  - 3D laser errors don't change dramatically the DT deformation threshold obtained for only 2D ones.

1









### Strategy: 1-Reaching accuracy specifications



## Differences NIF /LMJ

• Laser : A lot of features are very close because of the collaboration CEA-DOE since the beginning, but

- NIF = 192 beamlets, LMJ = 240 beamlets
- NIF focusing by lenses, LMJ focusing by gratings (→ diff smoothing)
- Angles of the cones NIF 24-30°/45-50, LMJ 33°/49/ 59.5

Baseline targets very close, except
The inner beam crossing
Lining of the entrance holes / hole diameter
Ablator (but still 3 choices)

New alternatives (for both):

2w/3w,

Capsule filling with DT by a micro-tube Diam ~  $10\mu,$  graded-dopant in the ablator